

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	].	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/680,698		10/07/2003	W. Richard Brown		37505.0278	6163	
33751	7590	11/06/2006		Γ	EXAMINER		
GREATBATCH LTD				_	WEINER, LAURA S		
9645 WEHRLE DRIVE CLARENCE, NY 14031				. [	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		<i>y</i>		1745		
				D	DATE MAILED: 11/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u>μ</u>	_				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_				
	10/680,698	BROWN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-				
	Laura S. Weiner	1745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- rd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONing ute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  Sply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28</u>	September 2006.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	<i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-9,16-19,27-32 and 38-42</u> is/are p	ending in the application.	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>43-55</u> is/are withdr							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8,16,17,19,27,28,30-32 and 3</u>	1 <u>9</u> is/are rejected.	,					
7) Claim(s) 3,9,18,29,38 and 40-42 is/are object	cted to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	nta haya haan raasiyad						
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li></ul>		polication No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		<del></del>					
application from the International Bure	•	received in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		received.					
Attachment(s)		•					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		)/Mail Date formal Patent Application					
<ul><li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li><li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10-7-03</u>.</li></ul>	6)  Other:	* *					

Art Unit: 1745

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 43-55 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

The newly added claims are unrelated to the original claims. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions, the inventions are unrelated because they are not disclosed as capable of use together and have different effects such that newly added claims 43-55 require a cathode comprising a titanium current collector provided with an outer layer of titanium oxide contacted by fluorinated carbon. The original claims do not require the contacting of a fluorinated carbon.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 43-55 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1745

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-2, 4-8, 16-17, 19, 27-28, 30-32, 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Disselbeck et al. (5,670,278) or Frysz et al. (5,114,810) in view of Liang et al. (4,391,729).

Disselbeck et al. teaches in column 2, lines 41-61, that the present invention provides a support for electrodes of primary or secondary electric cells where said support comprises an open-mesh, three dimensional network structure composed of plastics threads coated with one or more efficiently conducting, thin metal coats where at least the outer metal coat of the plastics threads consists of a valve metal. Titanium is particularly preferred valve metal. Disselbeck et al. teaches in column 6, lines 64-67, that preferably the valve metal layer, for example the titanium layer is surface-passivated. Disselbeck et al. teaches in column 10, lines 6-10, that the passivation of the valve metal layer is preferably effected by electrolytic oxidation and if the active material support is incorporated in a positive plate, can be effected simultaneously with the forming thereof [forming a titanium oxide layer].

Frysz et al. teaches in column 6, lines 47-52, that a lithium metal oxide bronze cell compatible to use the cathode current collector material is referenced in Liang et al. (4,391,729), the disclosure of which is hereby incorporated by reference. Frysz et al. teaches in column 7, Example I, that evaluation of corrosion behavior of 304 low carbon stainless steel, Grade 1 titanium and the cathode current collector material of the present invention in lithium silver/vanadium oxide cells were conducted. The titanium

\_

**Art Unit: 1745** 

group was further subdivided into 3 groups. The cathode plates of one group were weighed and then humidified at 25 degrees C in a humidity chamber to generate a more protective TiO2 passivation layer. Frysz et al. teaches in column 7, Example II, to further evaluate the response of the cathode current collector materials of Example I at longer elevated temperature open circuit exposure, 7 mm thick case negative lithium silver vanadium oxide cells were selected for 3 months storage. Three groups were sorted according to the cathode material used were three Grade 1 titanium cells: expanded titanium (humidified screen), machined titanium (humidified plate) and machined titanium (as received).

Disselbeck and Frysz et al. teaches the claimed invention except does not specifically teach a separator is positioned between the anode and the cathode.

Liang et al. teaches in column 6, Example 8, a cell comprising a lithium anode, a composite cathode, an electrolyte and a separator provided and placed between the anode and the cathode. Liang et al. teaches in column 5, example 2, that copper vanadium oxide was mixed with graphite powder and a Teflon binder. Liang et al. teaches in column 3, that when the mechanical structure or configuration of the cell require, a separator can be employed to provide physical separation between the anode and the cathode current collectors. The separator is of electrically insulative material to prevent an internal electrical short circuit in the cell. The form of the separator typically is a sheet which is placed between the anode and the cathode of the cell in a manner preventing physical contact between the anode and the cathode, and such contact also is prevented when the combination is rolled or otherwise formed into a cylindrical

Art Unit: 1745

configuration.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to put a separator between the anode and cathodes of Disselbeck et al. or Frysz et al. because Liang et al. teaches that when the mechanical structure or configuration of the cell require, a separator can be employed to provide physical separation between the anode and the cathode current collectors. The separator is of electrically insulative material to prevent an internal electrical short circuit in the cell.

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3, 9, 18, 29, 38, 40-42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura S. Weiner whose telephone number is 571-272-1294. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,698 Page 6

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 5/1-272-1000.

Laura & Weiner Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745

November 2, 2006